

HITCHIN'S CONNECTION, TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND SYMMETRY INVARIANT DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION

JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN

ABSTRACT. We establish that Hitchin's connection exist for any rigid holomorphic family of Kähler structures on any compact pre-quantizable symplectic manifold which satisfies certain simple topological constraints. Using Toeplitz operators we prove that Hitchin's connection induces a unique formal connection on smooth functions on the symplectic manifold. Parallel transport of this formal connection produces equivalences between the corresponding Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantizations. - In the cases where the Hitchin connection is projectively flat, the formal connections will be flat and we get a symmetry-invariant formal quantization. If a certain cohomological condition is satisfied a global trivialization of this algebra bundle is constructed. As a corollary we get a symmetry-invariant deformation quantization.

Finally, these results are applied to the moduli space situation in which Hitchin originally constructed his connection. First we get a proof that the Hitchin connection in this case is the same as the connection constructed by Axelrod, Della Pietra and Witten. Second we obtain in this way a *mapping class group invariant* formal quantization of the smooth symplectic leaves of the moduli space of flat $SU(n)$ -connections on any compact surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [H] Hitchin introduced a connection over Teichmüller space in the bundle one obtains by applying geometric quantization to the moduli spaces of flat $SU(n)$ -connections. Furthermore Hitchin proved that this connection is projectively flat. Hitchin's construction was motivated by Witten's study of quantum Chern-Simons theory in $2 + 1$ dimensions in [W1]. In fact, Witten constructed via path integral techniques a quantization of Chern-Simons theory in $2 + 1$ dimensions and argued in [W1] that this produced a TQFT indexed by a compact simple Lie group and an integer level k .

Combinatorially this theory was first constructed by Reshetikhin & Turaev using representation theory of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ at $q = e^{(2\pi i)/(k+n)}$ [RT1] and [RT2]. Subsequently these TQFT's were constructed using skein theory by Blanchet, Habegger, Masbaum & Vogel in [BHMV1], [BHMV2] and [B1]. In particular these TQFT's provide projective representations of the mapping class groups. The fact that these representations agree with the representations obtained from the projective action of the mapping class group on the projectively covariant constant sections of the Hitchin connection follows by combining the results of a series of papers. First of all, the work of Laszlo [La1] provides an identification of the Hitchin connection with the TUY-connection constructed in the bundle of WZW-conformal blocks over Teichmüller space in [TUY]. In joint work with Ueno ([AU1], [AU2], [AU3] and [AU4]), we have given a proof, based mainly on the results of [TUY], that the TUY-construction of the WZW-conformal field theory after twist by a fractional

power of an abelian theory, satisfies all the axioms of a modular functor. Furthermore, we have proved that the full 2+1-dimensional TQFT that results from this is isomorphic to the one constructed by BHMV via skein theory as mentioned above.

From Witten's path integral formulation of these theories, one expects that these TQFT's have asymptotic expansions in the level k of the theory. In [A1] we considered this question in the abelian case and described how one should make this question precise in the context of the mapping class group representations. As we described in that paper, the natural asymptotic expansion for these sequences of representations is a mapping class group invariant deformation quantization of the moduli space of flat $U(1)$ -connections. In this paper we extend these results to the case of the above mentioned representations and the $SU(n)$ -moduli space (see Theorem 5 below). In fact we consider a more general setting, in which we can construct the Hitchin connection, build a formal Hitchin connection and understand its relation to the associated Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantizations. Let us describe the generalized setting we will consider.

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold. Let I be a family of Kähler structures on (M, ω) parameterized holomorphically by some complex manifold $\mathcal{T}$. Suppose V is a vector field on $\mathcal{T}$. Then we can differentiate I along V and we denote this derivative $V[I] : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{End}(TM_{\mathbb{C}}))$. We define $\tilde{G}(V) \in C^\infty(M, S^2(TM_{\mathbb{C}}))$ by

$$V[I] = \tilde{G}(V)\omega,$$

and define $G(V) \in C^\infty(M, S^2(T_\sigma))$ ¹ such that

$$\tilde{G}(V) = G(V) + \overline{G}(V)$$

for all real vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$. We see that $\tilde{G}$ and G are one-forms on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, S^2(TM_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $C^\infty(M, S^2(T_\sigma))$ respectively.

Definition 1. We say that a complex family I of Kähler structures on (M, ω) is Rigid if

$$\bar{\partial}_\sigma(G(V)_\sigma) = 0$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$ and all points $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

Assume now that (M, ω) is prequantizable. That means there exists a Hermitian line bundle $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ over M with a compatible connection ∇ such that

$$F_\nabla = \frac{i}{2\pi}\omega.$$

For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ we consider the finite dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ given by

$$H_\sigma^{(k)} = H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k) = \{s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) | \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}s = 0\}.$$

We will assume that these subspaces of holomorphic sections form a smooth finite rank subbundle $H^{(k)}$ of the trivial bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \mathcal{T} \times C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that I is a rigid family of Kähler structures on the symplectic prequantizable compact manifold (M, ω) , which satisfies that there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the first Chern class of (M, ω) is $n[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Then the Hitchin connection $\hat{\nabla}$ in the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ preserves the subbundle $H^{(k)}$. It is given by

$$\hat{\nabla}_V = \hat{\nabla}_V^t - u(V)$$

¹We denote the holomorphic tangent bundle of (M, I_σ) by T_σ .

where $\hat{\nabla}^t$ is the trivial connection in $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$, V is any smooth vector field on $\mathcal{T}$ and $u(V)$ is the second order differential operator given by

$$(1) \quad u(V)(s) = \frac{1}{2k+n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G(V)}(s) - \nabla_{G(V)dF}(s) + 2kV'[F]s \right\},$$

where V' denotes the $(1,0)$ -part of the vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$ and $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M)$ is determined by $F_\sigma \in C_0^\infty(M)$ being the Ricci-potential for (M, I_σ) for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

We prove this theorem in section 2. When we apply this theorem to the gauge theory example discussed in Section 6, we get as a corollary that Hitchin's connection constructed in [H] is the same as the one constructed by Axelrod, della Pietra and Witten in [ADW].

Remark 1. In [AGL], in joint work with Gammelgaard and Lauridsen, we use half-forms and the metaplectic correction to prove the existence of a Hitchin connection in the context of half-form quantization. The assumption that the first Chern class of (M, ω) is $n[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is then just replaced by the vanishing of the second Stiefel-Whitney class of M .

Returning to the situation at hand, suppose Γ is a group which act on $\mathcal{T}$ and on M , such that I is Γ equivariant. Assume further that there is an action of Γ on the prequantum bundle $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \nabla)$ covering the Γ -action on M . It then follows that Hitchin's connection is Γ -invariant.

Since $H_\sigma^{(k)}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)}$ and therefore closed, we have the orthogonal projection $\pi_\sigma^{(k)} : \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow H_\sigma^{(k)}$. Since $H^{(k)}$ is a smooth subbundle of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ the projections $\pi_\sigma^{(k)}$ form a smooth map $\pi^{(k)}$ from $\mathcal{T}$ to the space of bounded operators on the L_2 -completion of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

From these projections we can construct the Toeplitz operators associated to any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)} : \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow H_\sigma^{(k)}$, defined by

$$T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)}(s) = \pi_\sigma^{(k)}(fs)$$

for any element s in $\mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)}$ and any point $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$. We observe that the Toeplitz operators are smooth sections $T_f^{(k)}$ of the bundle $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, H^{(k)})$ and restricts to smooth sections of $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(M)$ be the space of smooth differential operators on M acting on smooth functions on M . Let C_h be the trivial $C^\infty(M)[[h]]$ -bundle over $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 2. A formal connection D is a connection in C_h over $\mathcal{T}$ of the form

$$D_V f = V[f] + \tilde{D}(V)(f),$$

where $\tilde{D}$ is a smooth one-form on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}_h(M) = \mathcal{D}(M)[[h]]$, f is any smooth section of C_h , V is any smooth vector field on $\mathcal{T}$ and $V[f]$ is the derivative of f in the direction of V .

For a formal connection we get the series of differential operators $\tilde{D}^{(l)}$ given by

$$\tilde{D}(V) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}^{(l)}(V)h^l.$$

From Hitchin's connection in $H^{(k)}$ we get an induced connection $\hat{\nabla}^e$ in the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$. The Toeplitz operators are not covariant constant

sections with respect to $\hat{\nabla}^e$. They are asymptotically in k in the following very precise sense.

Theorem 2. *There is a unique formal Hitchin connection D which satisfies that*

$$(2) \quad \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} \sim T_{(D_V f)(1/(2k+n))}^{(k)}$$

for all smooth section f of C_h and all smooth vector fields on $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover

$$\tilde{D} = 0 \mod h.$$

Here $\sim$ means the following: For all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have that

$$\left\| \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} - \left(T_{V[f]}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^L T_{\tilde{D}_V^{(l)} f}^{(k)} \frac{1}{(2k+n)^l} \right) \right\| = O(k^{-(L+1)})$$

uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathcal{T}$ for all smooth maps $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$.

This theorem is proved in section 4. For an explicit formula for $\tilde{D}$ see (19).

Again in the presence of a symmetry group Γ as before, the formal Hitchin connection becomes Γ -invariant.

By the work of Bordeman, Meinrenken and Schlichenmaier [BMS], [Sch], [Sch1] and [Sch2] applied to the Kähler manifold (M, ω, I_σ) , we know that for any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, there is an asymptotic expansion

$$T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)} T_{g,\sigma}^{(k)} \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_{c_\sigma^{(l)}(f,g),\sigma}^{(k)} k^{-l},$$

where $c_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g) \in C^\infty(M)$ are uniquely determined. Moreover it gives a deformation quantization

$$f \star_\sigma^{BT} g = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g) h^l$$

which is known as the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. By the work of Karabegov and Schlichenmaier [KS], it is known to be a differential deformation quantization.

Proposition 1. *For every vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$, the formal operator D_V is a derivation for $\star_\sigma^{BT}$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}_h$ be the vector space of sections of C_h which are covariant constant with respect to the formal Hitchin connection D over $\mathcal{T}$. Then by Proposition 1, we see that star products $\star_\sigma^{BT}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, induces an associative algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}_h$. Moreover, the symmetry group Γ will act by automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_h$.

Theorem 3. *If the formal Hitchin connection has trivial global holonomy over $\mathcal{T}$, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a formal quantization of the Poisson algebra of smooth functions on (M, ω) .*

Remark 2. *If the Hitchin connection is projectively flat and $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected, then the formal Hitchin connection has trivial global holonomy over $\mathcal{T}$.*

A formal trivialization of a formal connections is defined as follows.

Definition 3. *A formal trivialization of a formal connection D is a smooth map $P : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_h(M)$ which modulo h is an isomorphism for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and such that*

$$D_V(P(f)) = 0$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$ and all $f \in C_h^\infty(M)$.

The existence of a formal trivialization is of course equivalent to the triviality of the global holonomy of D over $\mathcal{T}$.

In section 5 we construct a global Γ -equivariant formal trivialization under the assumption that the Hitchin connection is flat and that the first Γ -equivariant cohomology of M with coefficients in the Γ -module consisting of all differential operators on M vanishes. This further leads to the construction of a Γ invariant $*$ -product on M , simply because a global trivialization induces a vector space isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $C_h^\infty(M)$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that the formal Hitchin connection D is flat and*

$$H_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{T}, D(M)) = 0,$$

then there is a Γ -invariant trivialization P of D and the $$ -product*

$$f \star g = P_\sigma^{-1}(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{BT} P_\sigma(g))$$

is independent of $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and Γ -invariant.

As an application we apply our results to the moduli space of flat connections on a surface.

Let Σ be a compact two dimensional manifold and $\mathcal{M}$ the moduli space of flat $SU(n)$ -connections on Σ .

Let Γ be the mapping class group of Σ . The Γ action on $\mathcal{M}$ is Poisson and it preserving all the symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be Teichmüller space of Σ . Then for each smooth symplectic leaf (M, ω) of $\mathcal{M}$ we have a holomorphic map I from $\mathcal{T}$ to the space of complex structures on (M, ω) which is Γ -equivariant.

Applying the above to this moduli space situation, we get that

Theorem 5. *There is a mapping class group invariant formal quantization on the smooth symplectic leaves (M, ω) of the moduli space of flat $SU(n)$ -connections on any compact surface.*

It seems a very interesting open problem to understand how this formal quantization is related to the quantization of the moduli spaces presented in [AMR1] and [AMR2], as we have done in the abelian case in [A1].

We remark that the interplay between Toeplitz operators and Hitchin's connection forms the foundation for the proof of the asymptotic faithfulness theorem in [A2], the determination of the Nielsen-Thurston types of mapping classes via TQFT [A3] and forms our example of a representation of the mapping class group, which has no fixed vectors, but which has an almost fixed vector, i.e. proving the mapping class groups do not have Kazhdan's property (T) [A4].

2. THE HITCHIN CONNECTION

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold.

Definition 4. *A prequantum line bundle $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \nabla)$ over the symplectic manifold (M, ω) consist of a complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with a Hermitian structure $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and a compatible connection ∇ whose curvature is*

$$F_\nabla = \frac{i}{2\pi} \omega,$$

e.g.

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]} = \omega(X, Y)$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M . We say that the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is prequantizable if there exist a prequantum line bundle over it.

Recall that the condition for the existence of a prequantum line bundle is that $[\omega] \in \text{Im}(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ and that the inequivalent choices of prequantum line bundles (if they exist) are parameterized by $H^1(M, U(1))$. See e.g. [W].

We shall assume that (M, ω) is prequantizable and fix a prequantum line bundle $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \nabla)$ over (M, ω) .

Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth manifold which smoothly parametrizes Kähler structures on (M, ω) . This means we have a smooth² map $I : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{End}(TM))$ such that (M, ω, I_σ) is a Kähler manifold for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

We will use the notation M_σ for the complex manifold (M, I_σ) . For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ we use I_σ to split the complexified tangent bundle $TM_\mathbb{C}$ into the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic parts, which we denote

$$T_\sigma = E(I_\sigma, i) = \text{Im}(\text{Id} - iI_\sigma)$$

and

$$\bar{T}_\sigma = E(I_\sigma, -i) = \text{Im}(\text{Id} + iI_\sigma)$$

respectively.

The real Kähler-metric g_σ on (M_σ, ω) extended complex linearly to $TM_\mathbb{C}$ is by definition

$$g_\sigma(X, Y) = \omega(X, I_\sigma Y),$$

where $X, Y \in C^\infty(M, TM \otimes \mathbb{C})$. Both g_σ and ω induce isomorphisms $i_{g_\sigma}, i_\omega : TM_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow T^*M_\mathbb{C}$ and they are related by

$$i_{g_\sigma} = -I_\sigma i_\omega.$$

We record for later use that since $\Lambda^2(I_\sigma)\omega = \omega$, we get that

$$(2) \quad (\Lambda^2 i_{g_\sigma})^{-1}(\omega) = (\Lambda^2 i_\omega)^{-1}(\omega).$$

Suppose V is a vector field on $\mathcal{T}$. Then we can differentiate I along V and we denote this derivative $V[I] : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{End}(TM_\mathbb{C}))$. Differentiating the equation $I^2 = -\text{Id}$, we see that $V[I]$ anti-commutes with I . Hence we get that

$$V[I]_\sigma \in C^\infty(M, (T_\sigma^* \otimes \bar{T}_\sigma) \oplus (\bar{T}_\sigma^* \otimes T_\sigma))$$

for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$. Let

$$V[I]_\sigma = V[I]_\sigma'' + V[I]_\sigma'$$

be the corresponding decomposition such that $V[I]_\sigma'' \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma^* \otimes \bar{T}_\sigma)$ and $V[I]_\sigma' \in C^\infty(M, \bar{T}_\sigma^* \otimes T_\sigma)$.

Now we will further assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is a complex manifold and that I is a holomorphic map from $\mathcal{T}$ to the space of all complex structures on M . Concretely, this means that

$$V'[I]_\sigma = V[I]_\sigma'$$

and

$$V''[I]_\sigma = V[I]_\sigma''$$

²Here a smooth map from $\mathcal{T}$ to $C^\infty(M, W)$ for any smooth vector bundle W over M means a smooth section of $\pi_M^*(W)$ over $\mathcal{T} \times M$, where π_M is the projection onto M . Likewise a smooth p -form on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, W)$ is by definition a smooth section of $\pi_{\mathcal{T}}^* \Lambda^p(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \pi_M^*(W)$ over $\mathcal{T} \times M$. We will also encounter the situation where we have a bundle $\tilde{W}$ over $\mathcal{T} \times M$ and then we will talk about a smooth p -form on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, \tilde{W}_\sigma)$ and mean a smooth section of $\pi_{\mathcal{T}}^* \Lambda^p(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \tilde{W}$ over $\mathcal{T} \times M$.

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, where V' means the $(1,0)$ -part of V and V'' means the $(0,1)$ -part of V over $\mathcal{T}$.

We see that

$$V[g](X, Y) = \omega(X, V[I]Y).$$

Since ω is of type $(1,1)$ and g is symmetric, we see that

$$V[g] \in C^\infty(M, S^2(T^*) \oplus S^2(\bar{T}^*))$$

and self-conjugate for real vector fields. Let us now define $\tilde{G}(V) \in C^\infty(M, TM_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes TM_{\mathbb{C}})$ by

$$V[I] = \tilde{G}(V)\omega,$$

and define $G(V) \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma \otimes T_\sigma)$ such that

$$\tilde{G}(V) = G(V) + \bar{G}(V)$$

for all real vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$. We see that $\tilde{G}$ and G are one-forms on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, TM_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes TM_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $C^\infty(M, T_\sigma \otimes T_\sigma)$ respectively. We observe that

$$V'[I] = G(V)\omega,$$

and $G(V) = G(V')$.

Since $V[g] = \omega V[I]$, we see that

$$V[g] = \omega \tilde{G}(V)\omega = i_\omega \otimes i_\omega (\tilde{G}(V)).$$

From this it is clear that $\tilde{G}$ takes values in $C^\infty(M, S^2(TM_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and therefore that G takes values in $C^\infty(M, S^2(T_\sigma))$.

On $\mathcal{L}^k$ we have the the smooth family of $\bar{\partial}$ -operators $\nabla^{0,1}$ defined at $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ by

$$\nabla_\sigma^{0,1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + iI_\sigma)\nabla.$$

For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ we consider the finite dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ given by

$$H_\sigma^{(k)} = H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k) = \{s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) | \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}s = 0\}.$$

We will assume that these subspaces of holomorphic sections form a smooth finite rank subbundle $H^{(k)}$ of the trivial bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \mathcal{T} \times C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

Let $\hat{\nabla}^t$ denote the trivial connection in the trivial bundle $\mathcal{T} \times C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ denote the vector space of differential operators on $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$. For any smooth one-form u on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ we have a connection $\hat{\nabla}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ given by

$$\hat{\nabla}_V = \hat{\nabla}_V^t - u(V)$$

for any vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 1. *The connection $\hat{\nabla}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ induces a connection in $H^{(k)}$ if and only if*

$$(4) \quad \frac{i}{2}V[I]\nabla^{1,0}s + \nabla^{0,1}u(V)s = 0$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$ and all smooth sections s of $H^{(k)}$.

Proof. Let s be a section of $H^{(k)}$ over $\mathcal{T}$ and V a vector field on $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\hat{\nabla}_V s$ is a section of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$, and we compute at a point $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}((\hat{\nabla}_V(s))_\sigma) &= \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}(V[s]_\sigma) - \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}((u(V)s)_\sigma) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}(V[I]\nabla^{1,0}s)_\sigma - \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}((u(V)s)_\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{i}{2}(V[I]\nabla^{1,0}s)_\sigma + \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}(V[s]_\sigma) = 0.$$

Hence $\hat{\nabla}$ preserves $H^{(k)}$ if and only if (4) holds.

□

We observe that

$$V''[I]\nabla^{1,0}s = 0,$$

so $u(V'') = 0$ solves (4) along the anti-holomorphic directions on $\mathcal{T}$. In other words the $(0,1)$ -part of the trivial connection $\hat{\nabla}^t$ induces a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on $H^{(k)}$ and hence makes it a holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathcal{T}$.

This is of course not in general the situation in the $(1,0)$ direction. Let us now consider a particular u and prove that it solves (4) under certain conditions.

On the Kähler manifold (M_σ, ω) we have the Kähler metric and we have the Levi-Civita connection ∇ in T_σ . We also have the Ricci potential $F_\sigma \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. Here

$$C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \int_M f \omega^m = 0 \right\}$$

and the Ricci potential is the element of $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies

$$\text{Ric}_\sigma = \text{Ric}_\sigma^H + 2i\partial_\sigma \bar{\partial}_\sigma F_\sigma,$$

where $\text{Ric}_\sigma \in \Omega^{1,1}(M_\sigma)$ is the Ricci form and Ric_σ^H is its harmonic part. We see that we get this way a smooth function $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

For any $G \in C^\infty(M, S^2(T_\sigma))$ we get a linear bundle map

$$G : T_\sigma^* \rightarrow T_\sigma$$

and we have the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_G : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) &\xrightarrow{\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}} C^\infty(M, T_\sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) \xrightarrow{G \otimes \text{Id}} C^\infty(M, T_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\nabla_\sigma^{1,0} \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla_\sigma^{1,0}} C^\infty(M, T_\sigma^* \otimes T_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k). \end{aligned}$$

For any smooth function f on M , we get a vector field

$$Gdf \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma).$$

Implicit in this definition is the projection from $TM \cong T_\sigma \oplus \bar{T}_\sigma$ to T_σ , which takes df to $\partial_\sigma f$.

Putting these constructions together we consider the following operator for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2k + n \neq 0$

$$(5) \quad u(V) = \frac{1}{2k+n} o(V) + V'[F]$$

where

$$(6) \quad o(V) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G(V)} - \nabla_{G(V)dF} - nV'[F].$$

The connection associated to this u is denoted $\hat{\nabla}$ and we call it the *Hitchin connection*.

Definition 5. We say that the complex family I of Kähler structures on (M, ω) is Rigid if

$$\bar{\partial}_\sigma(G(V)_\sigma) = 0$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$ and all points $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

We will assume our holomorphic family I is rigid.

Theorem 6. Suppose that I is a rigid family of Kähler structures on the compact symplectic prequantizable manifold (M, ω) , which satisfies that there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the first Chern class of (M, ω) is $n[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Then u given by (5) and (6) satisfies (4) for all k such that $2k + n \neq 0$.

Hence the Hitchin connection $\hat{\nabla}$ preserves the subbundle $H^{(k)}$ under the stated conditions and we have obtained Theorem 1.

Theorem 6 is established through the following three Lemma's.

Lemma 2. Assume that the first Chern class of (M, ω) is $n[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and for any $G \in H^0(M_\sigma, S^2(T_\sigma))$ we have the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}(\Delta_G(s) - 2\nabla_{GdF_\sigma}(s)) &= -i(2k + n)G\omega\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s) \\ &\quad - ik \operatorname{Tr}(-2G\partial_\sigma F\omega + \nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(G)\omega)s, \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

Proof. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma^{0,1}(\Delta_G(s)) &= \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_\sigma^{0,1}\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}\nabla_\sigma^{0,1}G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) \\ &\quad - ik \operatorname{Tr}(\omega G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) - i \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}_\sigma G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) \\ &= -ik \operatorname{Tr}(\omega G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) - i \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}_\sigma G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) \\ &\quad - ik \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(G\omega s)) \\ &= -2ik \operatorname{Tr}(\omega G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) - i \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}_\sigma G\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(s)) \\ &\quad - ik \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_\sigma^{1,0}(G)\omega) \otimes s, \end{aligned}$$

since G is holomorphic and $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ because (M_σ, ω) is Kähler. The assumption $c_1(M, \omega) = n[\omega]$ implies that $\operatorname{Ric}_\sigma^H = n\omega$ and so

$$\operatorname{Ric}_\sigma = n\omega + 2i\partial_\sigma\bar{\partial}_\sigma F_\sigma.$$

From this we conclude the stated formula. $\square$

Lemma 3. We have the following relation

$$2i\bar{\partial}_\sigma(V'[F]_\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(2G(V)\partial(F)\omega - \nabla^{1,0}(G(V))\omega)_\sigma$$

provided $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

To prove this lemma, we need a formula for the variation of the Ricci-form.

Lemma 4. For any smooth vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$ we have that

$$(7) \quad (V'[\operatorname{Ric}])^{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla^{1,0}(G(V))\omega).$$

Proof. The Ricci form Ric_σ of the Kähler manifold (M_σ, ω) is by definition

$$\text{Ric}_\sigma = R_\sigma(\omega),$$

where $R_\sigma \in C^\infty(M, S^2(\Lambda_\sigma^{1,1}M))$ is the Kähler curvature and

$$R_\sigma(\omega) = R_\sigma((\Lambda^2 i_{g_\sigma})^{-1}(\omega)).$$

From this we conclude that

$$V'[\text{Ric}] = V'[R]((\Lambda^2 i_\omega)^{-1}(\omega))$$

where we have used (3). According to Theorem 1.174, (c), in [Besse], we have for any four vector fields X, Y, Z, U on M , that

$$\begin{aligned} V'[R](X, Y, Z, U) &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{Y,Z}^2(V'[g])(X, U) + \nabla_{X,U}^2(V'[g])(Y, Z) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{X,Z}^2(V'[g])(Y, U) - \nabla_{Y,U}^2(V'[g])(X, Z) \\ &\quad + V'[g](R(X, Y)Z, U) - V'[g](R(X, Y)U, Z)), \end{aligned}$$

where $R(X, Y) \in C^\infty(M, \text{End}(TM))$ is $(3, 1)$ -curvature of ∇ , the Levi-Civita connection on (M_σ, ω) and $\nabla_{X,Y}^2 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}$. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of (M_σ, ω) and therefore also $R(X, Y)_\sigma$ preserves the type decomposition of tensors on (M_σ, ω) . Hence when we want to compute $V'[R]$ applied to $(\Lambda^2 i_\omega)^{-1}(\omega) \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma \wedge \bar{T}_\sigma)$, and we are only interested in the $(1, 1)$ part of the result, we only get contributions from the third and fourth term. These two terms give

$$\begin{aligned} (V'[\text{Ric}])^{1,1} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}(\nabla^{1,0} \nabla^{1,0}(V'[g]) \otimes (\Lambda^2 i_\omega)^{-1}(\omega)) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(\nabla^{0,1} \nabla^{0,1}(V'[g]) \otimes (\Lambda^2 i_\omega)^{-1}(\omega))). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ and that $V'[g] = i_\omega \otimes i_\omega G(V)$ we get that

$$(V'[\text{Ric}])^{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial \text{Tr}(\nabla^{1,0}(G(V)) \otimes \omega).$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3. By the definition of the Ricci potential

$$\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}^H + 2id\bar{\partial}F$$

where $\text{Ric}^H = n\omega$ by the assumption. Hence

$$V'[\text{Ric}] = -dV'[I]dF + 2id\bar{\partial}V'[F]$$

and therefore

$$2i\partial\bar{\partial}V'[F] = (V'[\text{Ric}])^{1,1} + \partial V'[I]\partial F.$$

From the above we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(2G(V)\partial F\omega - \nabla^{1,0}(G(V))\omega)_\sigma - 2i\bar{\partial}_\sigma V'[F]_\sigma \in \Omega_\sigma^{0,1}(M)$$

is ∂_σ -closed. By Lemma 2 it is also $\bar{\partial}_\sigma$ -closed, hence it is a closed one form on M . But since we assume that $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, we see it is exact, but then it in fact vanishes since it is also of type $(0, 1)$ on M_σ .

□

From the above we conclude that

$$u(V) = \frac{1}{2k+n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G(V)} - \nabla_{G(V)dF} + 2kV'[F] \right\}$$

solves (4) and hence we have established Theorem 6.

We are actually interested in the induced connection $\hat{\nabla}^e$ in the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$. Suppose Φ is a section of $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$. Then for all sections s of $H^{(k)}$ and all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$, we have that

$$(\hat{\nabla}_V^e \Phi)(s) = \hat{\nabla}_V \Phi(s) - \Phi(\hat{\nabla}_V(s)).$$

Assume now that we have extended Φ to a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, H^{(k)})$ over $\mathcal{T}$. Then

$$(8) \quad \hat{\nabla}_V^e \Phi = \hat{\nabla}_V^{e,t} \Phi + [\Phi, u(V)]$$

where $\hat{\nabla}^{e,t}$ is the trivial connection in the trivial bundle $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$ over $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 5. *There exist smooth one-forms X_r, Z and functions Y_r , $r = 1, \dots, R$, on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$ such that*

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G(V)} - \nabla_{G(V)dF} = \sum_{r=1}^R \nabla_{X_r(V)} \nabla_{Y_r} + \nabla_{Z(V)}.$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. We fix a finite partition of unity (U_i, ρ_i) of M , such that each U_i is a contractible coordinate neighbourhood (U_i, x_i) . Let $\tilde{\rho}_i$ be a smooth function with compact support in U_i which is constant 1 on the support of ρ_i . Using the coordinate x_i we get a trivialization of $T(U_i)$. By combining these with the projections onto the varying holomorphic tangent sub-bundle, we get a smoothly varying trivialization of these. Using this we see that we for each i can find smooth one forms $X_i^{(j)}$ and $Y_i^{(j)}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(U_i, T(U_i) \otimes \mathbb{C})$, which maps $T_\sigma \mathcal{T}$ to $C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and such that

$$G(V)|_{U_i} = 2 \sum_j X_i^{(j)}(V) Y_i^{(j)}$$

and hence

$$G(V) = 2 \sum_i \sum_j \rho_i X_i^{(j)}(V) \tilde{\rho}_i Y_i^{(j)}.$$

Thus we conclude there exist smooth one-forms X_r and functions Y_r , $r = 1, \dots, R$, on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$ such that

$$G(V) = 2 \sum_{r=1}^R X_r(V) Y_r.$$

We now compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{G(V)} - \nabla_{G(V)dF} &= \sum_{r=1}^R \nabla_{X_r(V)} \nabla_{Y_r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^R \text{Tr}(\nabla(X_r(V))) \nabla_{Y_r} - 2 \sum_{r=1}^R X_r(V)(F) \nabla_{Y_r}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that

$$Z(V) = \sum_{r=1}^R \text{Tr}(\nabla(X_r(V)))Y_r - 2 \sum_{r=1}^R X_r(V)(F)Y_r.$$

□

This gives us the expression

$$(10) \quad u(V) = \frac{1}{2k+n} \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \nabla_{X_r(V)} \nabla_{Y_r} + \nabla_{Z(V)} - nV'[F] \right) + V'[F].$$

All we need to use about $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ below is that it is a smooth function, such that F_σ is real valued on M for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

Suppose Γ is a group which acts by bundle automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}$ over M preserving both the Hermitian structure and the connection in $\mathcal{L}$. Then there is an induced action of Γ on (M, ω) . We will further assume that Γ acts on $\mathcal{T}$ and that I is Γ -equivariant. In this case we immediately get the following invariance.

Lemma 6. *The natural induced action of Γ on $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ preserves the subbundle $H^{(k)}$ and the Hitchin connection.*

3. BEREZIN-TOEPLITZ DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

For each $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we consider the prequantum operator, namely the differential operator $P_f^{(k)} : C^\infty(M, L^k) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, L^k)$ given by

$$P_f^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{k} \nabla_{X_f} + if.$$

where X_f is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to f .

These operators act on $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ and therefore also on the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$, however, they do not preserve the subbundle $H^{(k)}$. In order to turn these operators into operators which act on $H^{(k)}$ we need to consider the Hilbert space structure.

Integrating the inner product of two sections against the volume form associated to the symplectic form gives the pre-Hilbert space structure on $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{m!} \int_M (s_1, s_2) \omega^m.$$

We think of this as a pre-Hilbert space structure on the trivial bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ which of course is compatible with the trivial connection in this bundle. This pre-Hilbert space structure induces a Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the finite rank subbundle $H^{(k)}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$. The Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^{(k)}$ also induces the operator norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$.

Since $H_\sigma^{(k)}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)}$ and therefore closed, we have the orthogonal projection $\pi_\sigma^{(k)} : \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow H_\sigma^{(k)}$. Since $H^{(k)}$ is a smooth subbundle of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ the projections $\pi_\sigma^{(k)}$ form a smooth map $\pi^{(k)}$ from $\mathcal{T}$ to the space of bounded operators on the L_2 -completion of $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$. The easiest way to see

this is to consider a local frame for $(s_1, \dots, s_{\text{Rank } H^{(k)}})$ of $H^{(k)}$. Let $h_{ij} = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle$. Let h_{ij}^{-1} be the inverse matrix of h_{ij} . Then

$$(11) \quad \pi_\sigma^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{i,j} \langle s, (s_i)_\sigma \rangle (h_{ij}^{-1})_\sigma (s_j)_\sigma.$$

This formula will be useful when we have to compute the derivative of $\pi^{(k)}$ along vector fields on $\mathcal{T}$.

From these projections we can construct the Toeplitz operators associated to any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)} : \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow H_\sigma^{(k)}$, defined by

$$T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)}(s) = \pi_\sigma^{(k)}(fs)$$

for any element s in $\mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)}$ and any point $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$. We observe that the Toeplitz operators are smooth sections $T_f^{(k)}$ of the bundle $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, H^{(k)})$ and restrict to smooth sections of $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$.

Remark 3. Similarly for any Pseudo-differential operator A on M with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^k$ (which may even depend on $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$), we can consider the associated Toeplitz operator $\pi^{(k)}A$ and think of it as a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, H^{(k)})$. However, whenever we consider asymptotic expansions of such or consider their operator norms, we implicitly restrict them to $H^{(k)}$ and consider them as section of $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$ or equivalently assume that they have been precomposed with $\pi^{(k)}$.

We recall by Tuynman's theorem (see [Tuyn]) that if we compose the prequantum operator associated to f by the orthogonal projection, then it can be rewritten as a Toeplitz operator:

Theorem 7 (Tuynman). *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and any point $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ we have that*

$$\pi_\sigma^{(k)} \circ P_f^{(k)} = iT_{f - \frac{1}{2k}\Delta_\sigma f, \sigma}^{(k)}$$

as operators from $\mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)}$ to $H_\sigma^{(k)}$, where Δ_σ is the Laplacian on (M_σ, ω) .

Tuynman's formula is of course equivalent to

$$\pi_\sigma^{(k)} \nabla_{X_f} = T_{\frac{i}{2}\Delta_\sigma(f), \sigma}^{(k)}.$$

This formula is really a corollary of a more general formula which we will need.

Suppose we have a smooth section $X \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle of M_σ . We then claim that the operator $\pi^{(k)}\nabla_X$ is a zero-order Toeplitz operator. Suppose $s_1 \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ and $s_2 \in H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k)$, then we have that

$$X(s_1, s_2) = (\nabla_X s_1, s_2).$$

Now, calculating the Lie derivative along X of $(s_1, s_2)\omega^m$ and using the above, one obtains after integration that

$$\langle \nabla_X s_1, s_2 \rangle = -\langle \Lambda d(i_X \omega) s_1, s_2 \rangle,$$

where Λ denotes contraction with ω . Thus

$$(12) \quad \pi^{(k)}\nabla_X = T_{f_X}^{(k)},$$

as operators from $C^\infty(N, L^k)$ to $H^0(N, L^k)$, where $f_X = -\Lambda d(i_X \omega)$.

Tuynman's formula above now follows from

$$\Lambda d(i_{(X_f)^{(1,0)}}\omega) = -\Lambda d(\bar{\partial}_\sigma f) = -\frac{i}{2}\Delta_\sigma f.$$

Iterating (12), we find for all $X_1, X_2 \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$ that

$$(13) \quad \pi^{(k)} \nabla_{X_1} \nabla_{X_2} = T_{f_{X_2} f_{X_1} - X_2(f_{X_1})}^{(k)}$$

again as operators from $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$ to $H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

For $X \in C^\infty(M, T_\sigma)$, the complex conjugate vector field $\bar{X} \in C^\infty(M, \bar{T}_\sigma)$ is a section of the antiholomorphic tangent bundle, and for $s_1, s_2 \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$, we have that

$$\bar{X}(s_1, s_2) = (\nabla_{\bar{X}} s_1, s_2) + (s_1, \nabla_X s_2).$$

Computing the Lie derivative along $\bar{X}$ of $(s_1, s_2)\omega^m$ and integrating, we get that

$$\langle \nabla_{\bar{X}} s_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle (\nabla_X)^* s_1, s_2 \rangle = -\langle \Lambda d(i_{\bar{X}}\omega) s_1, s_2 \rangle.$$

Hence we see that

$$(\nabla_X)^* = -(\nabla_{\bar{X}} - f_{\bar{X}})$$

as operators on $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k)$. In particular, we see that

$$(14) \quad \pi^{(k)} (\nabla_X)^* \pi^{(k)} = -T_{f_{\bar{X}}}^{(k)}|_{H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k)} : H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k) \rightarrow H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k).$$

For two smooth sections X_1, X_2 of the holomorphic tangent bundle T_σ and a smooth function $h \in C^\infty(M)$, we deduce from the formula for $(\nabla_X)^*$ that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^{(k)} (\nabla_{X_1})^* (\nabla_{X_2})^* h \pi^{(k)} &= \pi^{(k)} \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2(h) \pi^{(k)} - \\ &\quad \pi^{(k)} f_{\bar{X}_1} \bar{X}_2(h) \pi - \pi f_{\bar{X}_2} \bar{X}_1(h) \pi^{(k)} - \\ &\quad \pi^{(k)} \bar{X}_1(f_{\bar{X}_2}) h \pi + \pi f_{\bar{X}_1} f_{\bar{X}_2} h \pi^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

as operators on $H^0(M_\sigma, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

The product of two Toeplitz operators associated to two smooth functions will in general not be the Toeplitz operator associated to a smooth function again, but there is an asymptotic expansion of the product in terms of such Toeplitz operators on a compact Kähler manifold by the results of Schlichenmaier [Sch].

Theorem 8 (Schlichenmaier). *For any pair of smooth functions $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, we have an asymptotic expansion*

$$T_{f_1, \sigma}^{(k)} T_{f_2, \sigma}^{(k)} \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_{c_\sigma^{(l)}(f_1, f_2), \sigma}^{(k)} k^{-l},$$

where $c_\sigma^{(l)}(f_1, f_2) \in C^\infty(M)$ are uniquely determined since $\sim$ means the following: For all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have that

$$\|T_{f_1, \sigma}^{(k)} T_{f_2, \sigma}^{(k)} - \sum_{l=0}^L T_{c_\sigma^{(l)}(f_1, f_2), \sigma}^{(k)} k^{-l}\| = O(k^{-(L+1)})$$

uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, $c_\sigma^{(0)}(f_1, f_2) = f_1 f_2$.

Remark 4. It will be useful for us to define new coefficients $\tilde{c}_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g) \in C^\infty(M)$ which correspond to the expansion of the product in $1/(2k+n)$ (where n is some fixed integer):

$$T_{f_1, \sigma}^{(k)} T_{f_2, \sigma}^{(k)} \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_{\tilde{c}_\sigma^{(l)}(f_1, f_2), \sigma}^{(k)} (2k+n)^{-l}.$$

Theorem 8 is proved in [Sch], where it is also proved that the formal generating series for the $c_\sigma^{(l)}(f_1, f_2)$'s gives a formal deformation quantization³ of symplectic manifold (M, ω) .

We recall the definition of a formal deformation quantization. Introduce the space of formal functions $C_h^\infty(M) = C^\infty(M)[[h]]$ as the space of formal power series in the variable h with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$. Let $\mathbb{C}_h = \mathbb{C}[[h]]$.

Definition 6. A deformation quantization of (M, ω) is an associative product $*$ on $C_h^\infty(M)$ which respects the $\mathbb{C}_h$ -module structure. It is determined by a sequence of bilinear operators

$$c^{(l)} : C^\infty(M) \otimes C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

defined through

$$f * g = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c^{(l)}(f, g) h^l,$$

where $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. The deformation quantization is said to be differential, if the operators $c^{(l)}$ are bidifferential operators. Considering the symplectic action of Γ on (M, ω) , we say that a $*$ -product $*$ is Γ -invariant if

$$\gamma^*(f * g) = \gamma^*(f) * \gamma^*(g)$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 9 (Karabegov & Schlichenmaier). The product $\star_\sigma^{BT}$ given by

$$f \star_\sigma^{BT} g = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l c_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g) h^l,$$

where $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and $c_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g)$ are determined by Theorem 8, is a differentiable deformation quantization of (M, ω) .

Definition 7. The Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantization of the compact Kähler manifold (M_σ, ω) is the product $\star_\sigma^{BT}$.

Remark 5. Let Γ_σ be the σ -stabilizer subgroup of Γ . For any element $\gamma \in \Gamma_\sigma$, we have that

$$\gamma^*(T_{f, \sigma}^{(k)}) = T_{\gamma^* f, \sigma}^{(k)}.$$

This implies the invariance of $\star_\sigma^{BT}$ under the σ -stabilizer Γ_σ .

Remark 6. We define a new $*$ -product by

$$f \tilde{\star}_\sigma^{BT} g = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \tilde{c}_\sigma^{(l)}(f, g) h^l.$$

Then

$$f \tilde{\star}_\sigma^{BT} g = ((f \circ \phi^{-1}) \star_\sigma^{BT} (g \circ \phi^{-1})) \circ \phi$$

³We have the opposite sign-convention on the curvature, which means our c_l are $(-1)^l c_l$ in [Sch].

for all $f, g \in C_h^\infty(M)$, where $\phi(h) = \frac{h}{2+n h}$.

In [KS], this Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantization is identified in terms of Karabegov's classification of $*$ -products with separation of variables on Kähler manifolds. Adopting the convention where the roles of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic are interchanged in the condition for a star product to be with separation of variables from [KS], the main result of that paper reads

Theorem 10 (Karabegov & Schlichenmaier). *The Karabegov form $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma$ of the Berezin-Toeplitz $*$ -product $\star_\sigma^{BT}$ is*

$$\tilde{\omega}_\sigma = \frac{1}{h}\omega + \text{Ric}_\sigma.$$

We will also need the following theorem due to Bordemann, Meinrenken and Schlichenmaier (see [BMS]).

Theorem 11 (Bordemann, Meinrenken and Schlichenmaier). *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we have that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)}\| = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|.$$

Since the association of the sequence of Toeplitz operators $T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is linear in f , we see from this theorem, that this association is faithful.

4. THE FORMAL HITCHIN CONNECTION

We assume the conditions on (M, ω) and I of Theorem 6, thus providing us with a Hitchin connection $\hat{\nabla}$ in $H^{(k)}$ over $\mathcal{T}$ and the associated connection $\hat{\nabla}^e$ in $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$. Let $\mathcal{D}(M)$ be the space of smooth differential operators on M acting on smooth functions on M . Let C_h be the trivial $C_h^\infty(M)$ -bundle over $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 8. *A formal connection D is a connection in C_h over $\mathcal{T}$ of the form*

$$D_V f = V[f] + \tilde{D}(V)(f),$$

where $\tilde{D}$ is a smooth one-form on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}_h(M) = \mathcal{D}(M)[[h]]$, f is any smooth section of C_h , V is any smooth vector field on $\mathcal{T}$ and $V[f]$ is the derivative of f in the direction of V .

For a formal connection we get the series of differential operators $\tilde{D}^{(l)}$ given by

$$\tilde{D}(V) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}^{(l)}(V) h^l.$$

From Hitchin's connection in $H^{(k)}$ we get an induced connection $\hat{\nabla}^e$ in the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$. The Toeplitz operators are not covariant constant sections with respect to $\hat{\nabla}^e$. They are asymptotically in k in the following very precise sense.

Theorem 12. *There is a unique formal Hitchin connection D which satisfies that*

$$(16) \quad \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} \sim T_{(D_V f)(1/(2k+n))}^{(k)}$$

for all smooth section f of C_h and all smooth vector fields on $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover

$$\tilde{D} = 0 \mod h.$$

Here $\sim$ means the following: For all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have that

$$\left\| \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} - \left(T_{V[f]}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^L T_{\hat{D}_V^{(l)} f}^{(k)} \frac{1}{(2k+n)^l} \right) \right\| = O(k^{-(L+1)})$$

uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathcal{T}$ for all smooth maps $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$.

We call this formal connection D the *formal Hitchin connection*.

Before proving this theorem we need to establish some basic properties.

First, we need a useful formula for the derivative of the orthogonal projection $\pi^{(k)}$ along a curve σ_t in $\mathcal{T}$. To this end, consider a basis of covariant constant sections $(s_i)_t$, $i = 1, \dots, \text{Rank } H^{(k)}$, of $H^{(k)}$ over a curve σ_t in $\mathcal{T}$:

$$(s_i)'_t = u(\sigma'_t)((s_i)_t), \quad i = 1, \dots, \text{Rank } H^{(k)}.$$

Recall formula (11) for the projection $\pi^{(k)} : \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow H_\sigma^{(k)}$ and compute the derivative along σ_t : For any fixed $s \in C^\infty(M, L^k)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)})'(s) &= \sum_{i,j} \langle s, (s_i)'_t \rangle (h_{ij}^{-1})_t (s_j)_t \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \langle s, (s_i)_t \rangle (h_{ij}^{-1})'_t (s_j)_t \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \langle s, (s_i)_t \rangle (h_{ij}^{-1})_t (s_j)'_t. \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation gives that

$$(h_{ij}^{-1})'_t = - \sum_{l,r} (h_{il}^{-1})_t (\langle (s_l)'_t, (s_r)_t \rangle + \langle (s_l)_t, (s_r)'_t \rangle) (h_{rj}^{-1})_t,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)} (\pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)})'(s) &= \sum_{i,j} \langle u_{G(\sigma'_t)}^* s, (s_i)_t \rangle (h_{ij}^{-1})_t (s_j)_t \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,l,m,j} \langle s, (s_i)_t \rangle (h_{il}^{-1})_t \langle (s_l)_t, (s_m)'_t \rangle (h_{mj}^{-1})_t (s_j)_t \\ &= \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)} u(\sigma'_t)^*(s) - \sum_{m,j} \langle \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)} s, u(\sigma'_t)((s_i)_t) \rangle (h_{mj}^{-1})_t (s_j)_t \\ &= \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)} u(\sigma'_t)^*(s) - \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)} u(\sigma'_t)^* \pi_{\sigma_t}^{(k)}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that

Lemma 7. *For any smooth vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$, we have that*

$$(17) \quad \pi^{(k)} V[\pi^{(k)}] = \pi^{(k)} u(V)^* - \pi^{(k)} u(V)^* \pi^{(k)}.$$

Let us now apply this formula for the derivative.

Proof of Theorem 2. Using formula (8) we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} = V[T_f^{(k)}] + [T_f^{(k)}, u(V)]$$

and so we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(k)} \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} \pi^{(k)} &= \pi^{(k)} V[f] \pi^{(k)} + \pi^{(k)} V[\pi^{(k)}] f \pi^{(k)} \\
&\quad - \pi^{(k)} u(V) \pi^{(k)} f \pi^{(k)} + \pi^{(k)} f u(V) \pi^{(k)} \\
&= \pi^{(k)} V[f] \pi^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \pi^{(k)} V''[F] f \pi^{(k)} - \pi^{(k)} V''[F] \pi^{(k)} f \pi^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \pi^{(k)} V'[F] f \pi^{(k)} - \pi^{(k)} V'[F] \pi^{(k)} f \pi^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2k+n} (\pi^{(k)} o(V)^* f \pi^{(k)} - \pi^{(k)} o(V)^* \pi^{(k)} f \pi^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \pi^{(k)} f o(V) \pi^{(k)} - \pi^{(k)} o(V) \pi^{(k)} f \pi^{(k)})
\end{aligned}$$

Now by combining (10) with (12) to (15), we get a one-form E on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ such that

$$T_{E(V)f}^{(k)} = \pi^{(k)} o(V)^* f \pi^{(k)} + \pi^{(k)} f o(V) \pi^{(k)}.$$

Let H be the one-form on $\mathcal{T}$ with values in $C^\infty(M)$ such that $H(V) = E(V)(1)$. Then we get the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(k)} \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_f^{(k)} \pi^{(k)} &= T_{V[f]}^{(k)} + (T_{V[F]f}^{(k)} - T_{V[F]}^{(k)} T_f^{(k)}) \\
(18) \quad &\quad + \frac{1}{2k+n} (T_{E(V)(f)}^{(k)} - T_{H(V)}^{(k)} T_f^{(k)}).
\end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain the wanted estimate by letting

$$(19) \quad \tilde{D}(V)(f) = V[F]f - V[F]\tilde{\star}^{\text{BT}}f + h(E(V)(f) - H(V)\tilde{\star}^{\text{BT}}f)$$

which is clearly divisible by h .

□

Lemma 8. *If A is a smooth family of Toeplitz operators of order d , then $\hat{\nabla}_V^e A$ is also a smooth family of Toeplitz operators of order d and*

$$\sigma_d(\hat{\nabla}_V^e A) = V[\sigma_d(A)]$$

for any vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Since $\hat{\nabla}_V^e A$ is again a smooth section of $\text{End}(H^{(k)})$ over $\mathcal{T}$, we have that

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e A = \pi \hat{\nabla}_V^e A.$$

Now we can simply apply formula (17) to obtain the desired conclusion.

□

Proposition 2. *Suppose $f, g : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ are smooth functions. Then $T_f^{(k)} T_g^{(k)}$ is a smooth family of Toeplitz operators over $\mathcal{T}$ and for any vector field V on $\mathcal{T}$ we have that*

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e (T_f^{(k)} T_g^{(k)}) \sim \hat{\nabla}_V^e (T_{f \star^{BT} g}^{(k)}),$$

i.e. for all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have that

$$\left\| \hat{\nabla}_V^e (T_f^{(k)} T_g^{(k)}) - \sum_{l=0}^L \hat{\nabla}_V^e (T_{cl(f,g)}^{(k)}) \right\| = O(k^{-(L+1)}).$$

Proof. Using the notation of [Sch] we let

$$A_L = D_\varphi^L T_f T_g - \sum_{l=0}^L D_\varphi^{L-l} T_{c_l(f,g)}.$$

Suppose V be a vector field on $\mathcal{T}$. We will now establish by induction that $\hat{\nabla}^e A_L$ is a zero order Toeplitz operator and

$$\sigma_0(\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_L) = V[c_L(f, g)].$$

Note that Lemma 8 implies this claim for $A_0 = T_f T_g$, since we have just argued this operator is smooth. Assume we have established this claim for $\hat{\nabla}^e A_{L-1}$. Since

$$A_L = D_\varphi A_{L-1} - D_\varphi T_{c_{L-1}(f,g)},$$

we see that A_L is a smooth family of Toeplitz operators parameterized by $\mathcal{T}$ and

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_L = D_\varphi \hat{\nabla}_V^e A_{L-1} - D_\varphi \hat{\nabla}_V^e T_{c_{L-1}(f,g)}.$$

We see this is at most a first order operator by induction, but

$$\sigma_1(\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_L) = t(\sigma_0(\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_{L-1}) - V[c_{L-1}(f, g)]) = 0$$

by the previous lemma, so it is at most a 0-order operator. Applying the previous lemma again we see that

$$\sigma_0(\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_L) = V[\sigma_0(A_L)] = V[c_L(f, g)].$$

This completes the inductive step.

The estimates of the theorem now follow since by induction $\hat{\nabla}_V^e A_L$ is a zero order Toeplitz operator for all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. □

Lemma 9. *The formal operator D_V is a derivation for $\star_\sigma^{BT}$ for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.*

$$D_V(f \star^{BT} g) = D_V(f) \star^{BT} g + f \star^{BT} D_V(g)$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. By definition of $\star_\sigma^{BT}$ we have for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ that

$$T_{f,\sigma}^{(k)} T_{g,\sigma}^{(k)} \sim T_{f \star_\sigma^{BT} g, \sigma}^{(k)}.$$

Let V be a vector field on $\mathcal{T}$. By Proposition 2 we have that

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e(T_f^{(k)} T_g^{(k)}) \sim \hat{\nabla}_V^e(T_{f \star_\sigma^{BT} g}^{(k)}).$$

Considering the left hand side, we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}_V^e(T_f^{(k)} T_g^{(k)}) = \hat{\nabla}_V^e(T_f^{(k)}) T_g^{(k)} + T_f^{(k)} \hat{\nabla}_V^e(T_g^{(k)}).$$

Now apply Theorem 2 to get the wanted conclusion. □

Proposition 3. *For two vector fields V_1, V_2 on $\mathcal{T}$, we have the formula*

$$(20) \quad ([\hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e, \hat{\nabla}_{V_2}^e] - \hat{\nabla}_{[V_1, V_2]}^e)(T_f^{(k)}) \sim T_{([D_{V_1}, D_{V_2}] - D_{[V_1, V_2]})(f)(1/(2k+n))}^{(k)}$$

From this Proposition we conclude in particular that flatness of $\hat{\nabla}^e$ implies flatness of D .

Proof. By (18) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(\hat{\nabla}_{V_2}^e(T_f^{(k)})) &= \hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(T_{V_2[f]}^{(k)}) + \hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(T_{V_2'[F]f}^{(k)}) - \hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(T_{V_2'[F]}^{(k)}T_f^{(k)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2k+n}(\hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(T_{E(V_2)(f)}^{(k)}) - \hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(T_{H(V_2)}^{(k)}T_f^{(k)})). \end{aligned}$$

But now by applying first Proposition 2 and then Theorem 2 to this expression, we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}_{V_1}^e(\hat{\nabla}_{V_2}^e(T_f^{(k)})) \sim T_{D_{V_1}D_{V_2}f}^{(k)}.$$

The Proposition then follows from this and Theorem 2. $\square$

5. FORMAL TRIVIALIZATIONS AND SYMMETRY-INVARIANT *-PRODUCTS

Definition 9. A formal trivialization of a formal connection D is a smooth map $P : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_h(M)$ which modulo h is an isomorphism for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and such that

$$D_V(P(f)) = 0$$

for all vector fields V on $\mathcal{T}$ and all $f \in C_h^\infty(M)$.

Clearly if D is not flat, such a formal trivialization will not exist even locally on $\mathcal{T}$. However, if D is flat, which is implied if $\hat{\nabla}$ is projectively flat by Proposition 3, then we have the following result.

Proposition 4. Assume that D is flat and that $\tilde{D} = 0 \bmod h$. Then locally around any point in $\mathcal{T}$ there exists a formal trivialization. If $H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ then there exists a formal trivialization defined globally on $\mathcal{T}$. If further $H_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{D}(M)) = 0$ then we can construct P such that it is Γ -equivariant.

In this proposition $H_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{D}(M))$ simply refers to the Γ -equivariant first de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{T}$ with coefficients in the real Γ -vector space $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

Proof. We write the formal trivialization we seek as

$$P = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} P_l h^l$$

where $P_l : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(M)$. We need to solve

$$D_V P = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} V[P_l] h^l + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^l \tilde{D}^{(r)}(V) P_{l-r} h^l.$$

Hence we need that

$$(21) \quad V[P_l] = \sum_{r=1}^l \tilde{D}^{(r)}(V) P_{l-r}.$$

Now $P_0 = \text{Id}$ solves this equation for $l = 0$. Assume that we have solved (locally, globally on $\mathcal{T}$ respectively Γ -equivariantly on $\mathcal{T}$) this equation for P_r , for $r < l$. Then let $\alpha_l \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{D}(M))$ be given by

$$\alpha_l(V) = \sum_{r=1}^l \tilde{D}^{(r)}(V) P_{l-r}.$$

We observe that α_l is Γ -invariant. A short computation shows that the flatness of D implies that α_l is closed on $\mathcal{T}$. Hence we can (locally, globally on $\mathcal{T}$ respectively Γ -equivariantly on $\mathcal{T}$) solve (21) for P_l .

□

Now suppose we have a formal trivialization P of the formal Hitchin connection D determined by (2). Then P is constant mod $\hbar$ and we may and will assume that

$$P = \text{Id} \mod \hbar.$$

We can then define a new smooth family of star products, parametrized by $\mathcal{T}$, by

$$f \star_\sigma g = P_\sigma^{-1}(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g))$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and all $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proposition 5. *The star-products $\star_\sigma$ are independent of $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Since D_V is a derivation for $\star_\sigma^{\text{BT}}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, we have that

$$D_V(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g)) = 0.$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} D_V(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g)) &= \tilde{D}(V)(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g)) \\ &\quad + V[P_\sigma](f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g) \\ &\quad + P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} V[P_\sigma](g) \\ &\quad + P_\sigma(f) V[\star_\sigma^{\text{BT}}] P_\sigma(g), \end{aligned}$$

which we compare with

$$\begin{aligned} V[f \star_\sigma g] &= V[P_\sigma^{-1}](P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g)) \\ &\quad + P_\sigma^{-1}(V[P_\sigma](f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} P_\sigma(g)) \\ &\quad + P_\sigma^{-1}(P_\sigma(f) \star_\sigma^{\text{BT}} V[P_\sigma](g)) \\ &\quad + P_\sigma^{-1}(P_\sigma(f) V[\star_\sigma^{\text{BT}}] P_\sigma(g)) \end{aligned}$$

and conclude, since $PV[P^{-1}] = \tilde{D}(V)$, that

$$V[f \star_\sigma g] = 0.$$

□

From the above we conclude Theorem 4.

Now, let us analyze equivalences between symmetry invariant $*$ -products. Suppose we have two differential Γ -invariant $*$ -products $*$ and $*$ ', which are equivalent under some equivalence

$$T = \text{Id} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hbar^j T_j,$$

where $T_j : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is a linear map for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$T(f * g) = T(f) *' T(g).$$

By Theorem 2.22 in [GR] it follows that T_j is a differential operator for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 6. *If the first discrete cohomology of Γ with coefficient in the Γ -module $C_0^\infty(M)$, $H^1(\Gamma, C_0^\infty(M))$ and first de Rham cohomology of M with real coefficients, $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, both vanish, then we can find a Γ -invariant equivalence between $*$ and $*'$.*

Proof. We consider the given equivalence T and by a short computation we get that

$$T^{-1}\gamma^*(T)(f * g) = T^{-1}\gamma^*(T)(f) * T^{-1}\gamma^*(T)(g),$$

hence $T^{-1}\gamma^*(T)$ is an automorphism of $*$. Since $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ we get by proposition 3.3 in [GR] that there exists $a_\gamma \in C_h^\infty(M)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$(22) \quad T^{-1}\gamma^*(T) = \exp(\text{ad}_* a_\gamma).$$

We observe for $u, f \in C^\infty(M)$ that

$$\text{ad}_*(u)(f) = \{u, f\}h + O(h^2),$$

and that $\text{ad}_*(c) = 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}_h$. If we have that $a_\gamma = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_\gamma^{(j)} h^j$, then we may assume that $a_\gamma^{(j)} \in C_0^\infty(M)$. Furthermore a_γ is then uniquely determined by (22). Let us now assume that

$$a_\gamma^{(i)} = 0$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $i = 0, \dots, j-1$. We will then show that we can modify T_j to obtain a new equivalence which through (22) produces a new a_γ , which vanishes modulo h^{j+1} .

First we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\text{ad}_* a_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}) &= \exp(\text{ad}_* a_{\gamma_1}) \exp(\text{ad}_* \gamma_1^*(a_{\gamma_2})) \\ &= \exp(\text{ad}_*(a_{\gamma_1} \circ_* \gamma_1^*(a_{\gamma_2}))) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1 in [GR], where $\circ_*$ is the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff composition

$$a \circ_* b = a + \int_0^1 \psi(\exp(\text{ad}_* a) \circ \exp(t \text{ad}_* b)) b \, dt,$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{z \log(z)}{z - 1}.$$

One has for $a, b \in C^\infty(M)$ that

$$a \circ_* b = a + b + O(h^1).$$

From the above we may conclude that

$$a_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}^{(j)} = a_{\gamma_1}^{(j)} + \gamma_1^*(a_{\gamma_2}^{(j)}).$$

Hence we see that

$$(a_\gamma^{(j)}) \in Z^1(\Gamma, C_0^\infty(M)).$$

But by assumption $H^1(\Gamma, C_0^\infty(M)) = 0$, so this means that there exists $a^{(j)} \in C_0^\infty(M)$ such that

$$a_\gamma^{(j)} = \gamma^*(a^{(j)}) - a^{(j)}.$$

Now replace T_j by $T_j \exp(\text{ad}_*(a^{(j)} h^j))$ and obtain a new equivalence which produces a new a_γ with the required vanishing. By induction we have the conclusion of the Proposition. □

Remark 7. *From this we conclude that if the commutant of Γ in $D(M)$ is trivial, i.e. it contains only scalar multiples of the identity, then a Γ invariant differential $*$ -product on M is unique.*

6. APPLICATIONS TO MODULI SPACE OF FLAT CONNECTIONS

Let Σ be a compact surface. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of flat $SU(n)$ -connections on Σ

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), SU(n))/SU(n).$$

There is a natural Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}$ (see [FR1] and [FR2]). The symplectic leaves are specified by fixing the conjugacy-class of the holonomy around each component of the boundary of Σ . Let (M, ω) be a smooth symplectic leaf of $\mathcal{M}$. Pick a prequantum line bundle on (M, ω) . Then the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and we get the existence of the Hitchin connection given by (1).

As a corollary of Lemma 3, we get that

Theorem 13. *The Hitchin connection agrees with the connection constructed by Axelrod, della Pietra and Witten in [ADW].*

Now the techniques used in [H] to show that the Hitchin connection is projectively flat applies in our situation, hence we conclude that the induced connection in the endomorphism bundle $\hat{\nabla}^e$ is flat. Since Teichmüller space is contractible, we can apply Theorem 3 to obtain Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

- [A1] J.E. Andersen, "Geometric quantization and deformation quantization of abelian moduli spaces.", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **255**, 727-745 (2005).
- [A2] J. E. Andersen, "Asymptotic faithfulness of the quantum $SU(n)$ representations of the mapping class groups". *Annals of Mathematics*, **163** (2006), 347 – 368.
- [A3] J.E. Andersen, "The Nielsen-Thurston classification of mapping classes is determined by TQFT", *math.QA/0605036*. To appear in *J. of Math. of Kyoto University*.
- [A4] J.E. Andersen, "Mapping Class Groups do not have Kazhdan's Property (T)", *math.QA/0706.2184*.
- [AGL] J.E. Andersen, N. L. Gammelgaard & M. Lauridsen, "Hitchin's Connection in Half-form Quantization", *math.DG/0711.3995*.
- [AMR1] J.E. Andersen, J. Mattes & N. Reshetikhin, "The Poisson Structure on the Moduli Space of Flat Connections and Chord Diagrams". *Topology* **35**, pp.1069–1083 (1996).
- [AMR2] J.E. Andersen, J. Mattes & N. Reshetikhin, "Quantization of the Algebra of Chord Diagrams". *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **124** pp.451-467 (1998).
- [AU1] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, "Abelian Conformal Field theories and Determinant Bundles.", *International Journal of Mathematics*. **18**, (2007) 919 - 993.
- [AU2] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, "Constructing modular functors from conformal field theories.", *Journal of Knot theory and its Ramifications*. **16** 2 (2007), 127 – 202.
- [AU3] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, "Modular functors are determined by their genus zero data.", *math.QA/0611087*.
- [AU4] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, "Construction of the Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT from conformal field theory.", In preparation.
- [At2] M. Atiyah, "The Jones-Witten invariants of knots." *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1989/90. *Astérisque* No. **189-190** (1990), Exp. No. 715, 7–16.
- [AB] M. Atiyah & R. Bott, "The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces" *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, Vol. **A308** (1982) 523 – 615.
- [ADW] S. Axelrod, S. Della Pietra, E. Witten, "Geometric quantization of Chern Simons gauge theory.", *J.Diff.Geom.* **33** (1991) 787–902.
- [Besse] A. L. Besse, "Einstein Manifolds", Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987).

- [B1] C. Blanchet, "Hecke algebras, modular categories and 3-manifolds quantum invariants.", *Topology* **39** (2000), no. 1, 193–223.
- [BHMV1] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum & P. Vogel, "Three-manifold invariants derived from the Kauffman Bracket." *Topology* **31** (1992), 685–699.
- [BHMV2] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum & P. Vogel, "Topological Quantum Field Theories derived from the Kauffman bracket." *Topology* **34** (1995), 883–927.
- [BMS] M. Bordeman, E. Meinrenken & M. Schlichenmaier, "Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $gl(N)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994), 281–296.
- [DN] J.-M. Drezet & M.S. Narasimhan, "Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques", *Invent. math.* **97** (1989) 53–94.
- [Fal] G. Faltings, "Stable G-bundles and projective connections.", *J. Alg. Geom.* **2** (1993) 507–568.
- [Fr] D.S. Freed, "Classical Chern-Simons Theory, Part 1", *Adv. Math.* **113** (1995), 237–303.
- [FWW] M. H. Freedman, K. Walker & Z. Wang, "Quantum $SU(2)$ faithfully detects mapping class groups modulo center." *Geom. Topol.* **6** (2002), 523–539
- [FR1] V. V. Fock & A. A Rosly, "Flat connections and polyubles". *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **95** (1993), no. 2, 228–238; translation in *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **95** (1993), no. 2, 526–534
- [FR2] V. V. Fock & A. A Rosly, "Moduli space of flat connections as a Poisson manifold". *Advances in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics: 2nd Italian-Russian collaboration (Como, 1996)*. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. B* **11** (1997), no. 26–27, 3195–3206.
- [vGdJ] B. Van Geemen & A. J. De Jong, "On Hitchin's connection", *J. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1998), 189–228.
- [GR] S. Gutt & J. Rawnsley, "Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold", *J. of Geom. Phys.*, **29** (1999), 347–392.
- [H] N. Hitchin, "Flat connections and geometric quantization.", *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **131** (1990) 347–380.
- [KS] A. V. Karabegov & M. Schlichenmaier, "Identification of Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantization", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **540** (2001), 49–76.
- [La1] Y. Laszlo, "Hitchin's and WZW connections are the same.", *J. Diff. Geom.* **49** (1998), no. 3, 547–576.
- [M1] G. Masbaum, "An element of infinite order in TQFT-representations of mapping class groups." *Low-dimensional topology (Funchal, 1998)*, 137–139, *Contemp. Math.*, **233**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [M2] G. Masbaum. "Quantum representations of mapping class groups". In: *Groupes et Géométrie (Journée annuelle 2003 de la SMF)*. pages 19–36.
- [NS1] M.S. Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, "Holomorphic vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface", *Math. Ann.* **155** (1964) 69 – 80.
- [NS2] M.S. Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, "Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface", *Ann. Math.* **82** (1965) 540 – 67.
- [R1] T.R. Ramadas, "Chern-Simons gauge theory and projectively flat vector bundles on M_g ", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **128** (1990), no. 2, 421 – 426.
- [RSW] T.R. Ramadas, I.M. Singer and J. Weitsman, "Some Comments on Chern - Simons Gauge Theory", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **126** (1989) 409–420.
- [RT1] N. Reshetikhin & V. Turaev, "Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **127** (1990), 1 – 26.
- [RT2] N. Reshetikhin & V. Turaev, "Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups", *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), 547–597.
- [Ro] J. Roberts, "Irreducibility of some quantum representations of mapping class groups". *J. Knot Theory and its Ramifications* **10** (2001) 763 – 767.
- [Sch] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and conformal field theory" Thesis.
- [Sch1] M. Schlichenmaier, "Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin-Toeplitz quantization." In *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon)*, 289–306, *Math. Phys. Stud.*, **22**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (2000), 289–306.
- [Sch2] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and Berezin transform." In *Long time behaviour of classical and quantum systems (Bologna, 1999)*, Ser. Concr. Appl. Math., **1**, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, (2001), 271–287.

- [TUY] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno & Y. Yamada, "Conformal Field Theory on Universal Family of Stable Curves with Gauge Symmetries", *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, **19** (1989), 459–566.
- [T] V. G. Turaev, "Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds." de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 18. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. x+588 pp. ISBN: 3-11-013704-6
- [Tuyn] Tuynman, G.M., "Quantization: Towards a comparison between methods", J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987), 2829–2840.
- [W1] E. Witten, "Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial.", Comm. Math. Phys **121** (1989) 351–98.
- [W] N.J. Woodhouse, "Geometric Quantization", Oxford University Press, Oxford (1992).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DK-8000, DENMARK
E-mail address: andersen@imf.au.dk